ANTISEMITISM

ON U.S. COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY CAMPUSES






TABLE OF

CONTENTS



INTRODUCTION

In the year since StopAntisemitism's last Campus Antisemitism Report, the situation has intensified and metastasized into a coordinated and well-funded nationwide campaign targeting Jewish students. More than 140 campuses saw orchestrated protest actions, many supported by outside organizations with clear political agendas, synchronized messaging, and unified demands. These demonstrations have nothing to do with "free speech," they are about actions: encampments, mob intimidation, property damage, and violence, resulting in hundreds of arrests across the country.

The incidents documented in this report reveal the true nature of the crisis:

- At Amherst College, a Jewish senior reported that Jewish and Israeli students were selectively targeted and punished under the school's conduct system, while anti-Israel activists disrupted campus life without consequence.
- At Arizona State University, antisemitic propaganda glorifying terrorists was circulated openly, creating a threatening environment for Jewish students and faculty.
- At Columbia University, a "Martyrs Day" celebration on Veterans Day was hosted in which participants praised terror and mourned the deaths of Hassan Nasrallah and Yahya Sinwar, former leaders of the terrorist organizations Hezbollah and Hamas.
- At UCLA, students reported being followed, screamed at, or physically blocked by activists who demanded they denounce Israel or hide their Jewish identity.

These actions were not spontaneous, they were conceived, planned, and executed by a nationalized infrastructure of professional activists and operators, timed for media impact, and designed to leverage sympathetic campus administrators and overwhelm ethical ones. Jewish students report that the combination of encampments, targeted harassment, and university inaction has created an environment defined by fear, isolation, and institutional neglect.

INTRODUCTION CONTINUED

According to the 2025 survey conducted by StopAntisemitism:

- 58% of Jewish students reported personally experiencing antisemitism on campus.
- Only 12% of reported incidents were properly addressed.
- 39% hid their Jewish identity, and 65% felt unwelcome in specific campus spaces.
- **62**% were directly blamed for Israel's actions.
- 58% said their schools failed to protect Jewish students after October 7.
- Only 39% felt Jews were included in DEI initiatives.

These findings confirm the harsh reality that Jewish students are being marginalized in institutions that claim to champion diversity and inclusion but instead amplify division and exclusion. Their safety and dignity are treated as negotiable and often dismissed entirely.

This year's report draws on hundreds of incidents from 90 colleges and universities identified by StopAntisemitism. The findings reveal that the majority of U.S. campuses are failing through weak policies, uneven enforcement, or even outright complicity.

Even since the recent Gaza ceasefire agreement, antisemitism remains loud, bold, and unchecked, revealing that none of this is about Israel but instead it is about Jew-hatred, plain and simple. Coordinated protests, ideological harassment, and institutional apathy continue to endanger Jewish students. Families must confront the facts: Are you prepared to send tuition dollars to a school that allows your children to be threatened, targeted, and blamed simply for being Jewish?

METHODOLOGY

StopAntisemitism's 2025 Campus Antisemitism Report evaluated 90 colleges and universities selected for their scale, national relevance, incident volume, and student reported vulnerability. The list includes large public institutions, elite private universities, regional colleges, and campuses with significant Jewish populations. Schools were chosen based on high rates of antisemitic activity, ongoing federal Title VI investigations, substantial Jewish enrollment, and broad public influence. These criteria that allow for consistent year-to-year comparisons and a representative national overview.

A nationwide survey gathered firsthand accounts from Jewish students enrolled at these schools, capturing both quantitative indicators and optional qualitative descriptions. Students reported whether they experienced antisemitism, hid their identity, felt unwelcome, believed their school protected them post–October 7, or saw Jews included in DEI efforts. The results revealed widespread fear, marginalization, and dissatisfaction with institutional protections; for example, 58% experienced antisemitism, 39% hid their identity, and only 12% felt incidents were properly investigated. Aggregated responses helped reveal national patterns and informed campus-specific evaluations.

StopAntisemitism also evaluated administrative responses to the school surveys through public statements, policy updates, disciplinary records, cooperation with federal inquiries, engagement with Jewish groups, and evidence of transparent reporting systems. Student feedback on the quality of investigations—88% dissatisfied—was cross-referenced with documented actions such as suspensions, expulsions, and task-force creation. Administrations were evaluated for consistency, speed, effectiveness, and adherence to civil-rights obligations.

Finally, the report tracked and verified hundreds of incidents across the 90 campuses, including harassment, vandalism, threats, encampments, faculty misconduct, and administrative failures. These were sourced from student submissions, media reports, police logs, federal findings, social media, and campus organizations, with every incident confirmed through at least one public or institutional source. Patterns of repeat or severe misconduct received additional weight. Each school's grade reflected incident volume and severity, administrative response quality, student-reported safety, presence of federal investigations, and evidence of accountability or neglect, providing a comprehensive and multi-layered assessment.







Baylor University

Baylor shows a clear commitment to supporting its Jewish students and maintaining an inclusive atmosphere. The university fosters dialogue and directly responds to student concerns, resulting in Jewish students feeling safe and supported.

Clemson University

Clemson consistently addresses antisemitic incidents through decisive action. Despite protests and vandalism, the university remains supportive-most recently partnering with Hebrew University and Sapir College in Israel on agricultural research.







Colby College

Colby fosters a respectful, accountable campus and strong ties with Jewish students. The administration prioritizes safety, open discourse, and academic freedom, and the college partners with Haifa University for study abroad in Israel.

Colorado State University

CSU actively supports its Jewish students, establishing a Presidential Task Force on Jewish Inclusion in 2020. Despite antisemitic incidents tied to the Israel-Hamas war, the university continues to condemn hate and stand with its students.







Elon University

Elon's Jewish students report feeling safe and supported. The university promotes open dialogue and education, fostering an inclusive, welcoming campus environment.

Southern Methodist University

SMU responded swiftly when swastikas were found in a men's bathroom in August. The university continues to act decisively to protect its Jewish students and maintain a safe campus.



INIVERSITY OF ALABAM

University of Alabama

Alabama offers a strong support network for Jewish students through campus organizations which provide a sense of community and belonging.

The administration has publicly condemned antisemitic incidents and responded swiftly with investigations and student support



University of Connecticut

UConn takes proactive steps to support its Jewish students, responding quickly to concerns and fostering open dialogue. These efforts contribute to a campus environment that is welcoming, inclusive, and attentive to student safety.



resources.



University of Florida

UF has experienced incidents of antisemitic vandalism and protests, but student responses cited that the administration responds swiftly by condemning such behavior and enforcing consequences. For example, a law student with a history of antisemitic rhetoric and actions was expelled.





University of Georgia

UGA has implemented measures to support its Jewish student community, taking a firm stance against antisemitic rhetoric and holding individuals accountable when student safety is threatened. While the campus has experienced incidents such as protests and vandalism, the administration responds promptly and decisively.

ONIVERSII OF FLORIDA



University of Miami

U Miami takes a proactive approach to addressing antisemitism, prioritizing the safety and inclusion of its students.

Jewish students consistently report feeling supported by the administration in matters of antisemitism and campus involvement.





University of Mississippi

Ole Miss administration takes reports of antisemitism seriously and responds to incidents promptly, reflecting a commitment to creating a safer and more welcoming environment for the Jewish student community.

UNIVERSITY C MISSISSIPPI

UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA





University of South Carolina

USC Jewish students feel safe and included on campus. There are rarely antisemitic incidents and rhetoric reported, and the school administration is quick to condemn antisemitism.





University of Texas, Austin

UT Austin has taken action by condemning antisemitic rhetoric and enacting consequences when Jewish students felt targeted or unsafe, ultimately resulting in a general sense of security and inclusivity by Jewish students.

JNIVERSITY OF EXAS, AUSTIN





Washington University

WashU students reported feeling supported by their school and satisfied by the response to antisemitic incidents. Although there have been antisemitic incidents, students cited that the administration actively condemns antisemitism and focuses on education and action.





Arizona State University

ASU takes antisemitism reports seriously and investigates them. One professor allegedly assigned antisemitic reading material, and there have been accounts of antisemitic vandalism, but overall, students say they feel safe and supported on campus.





Brandeis University

Brandeis has seen vandalism and antisemitic rhetoric targeting Jewish students, but the administration has condemned the hate, banned encampments, and continues to run the President's Initiative on Antisemitism launched in 2023.

SE ESTERN RESERVE





Case Western Reserve University

CWRU has faced several vandalism incidents, including one causing major damage. The school held the students accountable and condemned their actions. Despite these issues, reports show CWRU continues working to keep Jewish students safe.

BRANDEIS University





Dartmouth University

Dartmouth has had few incidents, most recently a swastika outside a Jewish student's dorm. The administration quickly launched an investigation and condemned the act, and its efforts to curb antisemitism show real progress in protecting students.



Duke University

Reports at Duke cite antisemitic protests and a professor sharing antisemitic views, but incidents are overall declining. The administration continues to take action, including recent Antisemitism 101 sessions for faculty and staff.

LORIDA ATLANTIC



Florida Atlantic University

Despite vandalism and antisemitic protests, FAU takes steps to protect Jewish students, including faculty committees that review courses for antisemitic or anti-Israel bias.





Michigan State University

MSU has faced antisemitic vandalism and protests, but the university enforces consequences, including arrests, to protect Jewish students.



Muhlenberg College

Reports cited varying levels of satisfaction with Muhlenberg's handling of antisemitic incidents, but a general sense of well-being among Jewish students. Most recently, a professor was fired from Muhlenberg over antisemitic rhetoric.



NSYLVANIA



Pennsylvania State University

Penn State's Jewish students have mixed views on the administration's response to antisemitism, but most feel safe and supported, with the university taking steps to maintain an inclusive environment.





Tulane University

UNIVERSITY

Despite numerous antisemitic protests, Tulane's administration actively ensures campus security, and the Jewish community remains strong and supported.



University of Arizona

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSITY
KENTUCKY

Several incidents of antisemitic protests were flagged but the U of A administration responded with efforts to support affected students. Many Jewish students report a continued sense of community and engagement through active campus organizations and administration involvement.



University of Colorado

The University of Colorado has seen few antisemitic incidents, with Jewish students generally feeling safe. While administrative responses have varied, a strong Jewish community and supportive leadership help protect students.





University of Kentucky

While the campus saw a few antisemitic protests during the review period, the administration generally responded promptly to reported incidents. Most Jewish students expressed general feelings of safety and inclusivity on campus.





University of Maryland

U Maryland has seen few antisemitic incidents, with students generally feeling safe. The strong Jewish community and engaged administration provide support on campus.





University of Missouri

The University of Missouri saw few antisemitic incidents, with most Jewish students feeling safe. Some noted hostility and protests, but the campus climate remained calm and the administration responsive.

NIVERSITY OF ISSOURI

ANTISEMITISM ON U.S. COLLEGE & UNIVERSITY CAMPUSES | REPORT CARD 2025



VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY

Vanderbilt University

Vanderbilt faced antisemitic protests, raising safety concerns for Jewish students, but leadership consistently condemned hate and promoted an inclusive environment.



Vassar College

VASSAR

Vassar students reported antisemitic protests and rhetoric that caused discomfort, but the college tracks incidents and has formal procedures in place to enhance student safety.





University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

UMich faced multiple antisemitic incidents, raising safety concerns for Jewish students. The administration condemned antisemitism and took action, though some responses felt inconsistent, while remaining vigilant in protecting students.





Amherst College

AMHERST COLLEGE

Amherst Jewish students feel unsafe or marginalized during times of hostility. While the administration has occasionally addressed reported concerns, students noted inconsistencies in responses.



Bard College

Bard College

SARD COLLEGI Bard students experienced several antisemitic incidents and protests during the review period, contributing to concerns among Jewish students about safety and inclusion. Students expressed a need for stronger institutional measures to combat antisemitism effectively.



Carnegie Mellon University

Carnegie Mellon University

Carnegie Mellon Jewish students reported varying levels of concern about their safety and inclusion on campus. While the administration addressed some reported incidents, students noted inconsistent responses and a perception that antisemitism was not always taken seriously.



Cornell University

Cornell students reported multiple antisemitic incidents, raising concerns about safety and campus climate.

The administration addressed them and affirmed its commitment to inclusivity, though some students felt support and enforcement were inconsistent.



Emerson College

Emerson saw a few antisemitic incidents, with the administration condemning and addressing them, though students often felt responses fell short in supporting the Jewish community.

MERSON OLLEGE





Emory University

Emory faced antisemitic protests and rhetoric targeting Jewish students, with limited administrative action. While a medical school professor was suspended for antisemitic comments, more must be done to ensure Jewish students feel safe and supported.





Georgia State University

Georgia State administration has publicly condemned antisemitism and encouraged reporting of incidents, however many Jewish students reported feeling unsafe expressing their identity and lacking full institutional support.

EMORY UNIVERSIT



Hofstra University

Some Hofstra Jewish students feel cautious expressing their identity and not fully supported, despite active Jewish organizations offering community and resources.





New York University (NYU)

NYU saw numerous antisemitic incidents and protests, raising concerns about safety and campus climate. The administration condemned antisemitism and took some action, though responses were seen as inconsistent by some students.

NORTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY



Northeastern University

Northeastern saw several antisemitic incidents, raising concerns about safety and inclusion. While the administration condemned and addressed them, reports suggest more could be done to protect Jewish students.



Oberlin College

Oberlin's Jewish students reported experiencing antisemitic incidents and rhetoric that contributed to feelings of unease and caution on campus. Students further noted inconsistencies in responses and a need for stronger measures to ensure safety and support.

SUNY NEW PALTZ





Rice University

Rice students reported antisemitic incidents and rhetoric, noting inadequate responses. While Jewish organizations offer support, the campus climate still challenges students' sense of safety and inclusion.





SUNY New Paltz

SUNY New Paltz students reported antisemitic incidents and rhetoric raising safety and inclusion concerns. While some events were condemned, responses were uneven, and more proactive action is needed to ensure a supportive campus environment.



Swarthmore College

Swarthmore students reported antisemitic graffiti, vandalism, and rhetoric glorifying extremist violence. The mid-range grade reflects uneven protections and the need for clearer policy enforcement.





Temple University

Temple students reported antisemitic graffiti, vandalism, and rhetoric that seemed to endorse extremist violence. The mediocre grade reflects inconsistent protections and the need for clearer policy enforcement.





University of Denver

Campus and student reports cited events and rhetoric that appeared to glorify or justify extremist violence; harassment, threats, or intimidation targeting Jewish students; protests and encampments where hostile rhetoric toward Jews was reported.

UNIVERSIT OF DENVE





University of Minnesota

Students reported antisemitic graffiti, vandalism, and rhetoric praising or justifying extremist violence.

The mediocre grade reflects uneven protections and the need for stronger policy enforcement.





University of Southern California

At USC, Jewish students have faced antisemitic incidents like swastika graffiti, and while the administration has condemned these acts and launched initiatives, protections remain inconsistent, leaving the campus climate only moderately safe.





University of Houston

University of Houston students cited events and rhetoric that appeared to glorify or justify extremist violence; antisemitic graffiti and vandalism on or near campus; harassment, threats, or intimidation targeting Jewish students.





University of North Carolina

UNC Chapel Hill Jewish students have experienced antisemitic incidents including hateful posters, chants praising violence, and major pro Palestinian encampments that heightened safety concerns. The campus climate remains unsettled, with protections often inconsistent and insufficient.

UNIVERSIT OF NORTH CAROLINA

NIVERSITY

IVERSITY HOUSTON





University of Vermont

A January 2025 letter from a U of Vermont student described feeling unsafe: avoiding wearing a Star of David, altering travel on campus, and fearing speaking Hebrew. The underlying sense of risk among Jewish students remains, and many feel the protections and response mechanisms are still not fully reliable.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA





University of Wisconsin

In 2025, UW Madison faced a federal investigation over alleged antisemitic harassment, highlighting ongoing risks for Jewish students. While the university has updated policies and training, protections remain uneven and the campus climate continues to be unsafe.



Williams College

At Williams College, Jewish students have reported antisemitic incidents such as pro-Hamas graffiti at a pre commencement event and targeted vandalism of pro-Jewish displays. The campus climate remains unsettled, with many feeling protections are uneven and student group participation for pro Israel voices challenged.





Boston University

BU reports documented antisemitic graffiti, vandalism, protests, and rhetoric that seemed to endorse extremist violence. The low grade signals ongoing risks and inconsistent institutional response.



Wellesley College

At Wellesley College, Jewish students continue to face a fragile campus climate: an RA email declaring "no support for Zionism" triggered a formal Title VI complaint. In March, the U.S. Department of Education warned the college of potential enforcement action if it fails to protect Jewish students' rights.





American University

American students reported antisemitic graffiti, vandalism, harassment, and rhetoric glorifying extremist violence. The low grade reflects ongoing risks and inconsistent institutional accountability.

AMERICAN UNIVERSITY

WELLESLEY COLLEGE



Brooklyn College | CUNY

BROOKLYN

Reports at CUNY Brooklyn noted fewer documented antisemitic incidents than the previous year, yet the low grade reflects persistent risks and uneven accountability, with the wider CUNY system remaining one of the most antisemitic in the country.

BOSTON UNIVERSITY





George Washington University

Among the more concerning campuses, reports noted antisemitic graffiti, vandalism, and rhetoric praising extremist violence. The low grade underscores continued risks and inadequate institutional oversight.

GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY



Georgetown University

Georgetown incidents include antisemitic graffiti, vandalism, and threats or harassment of Jewish students. The grade reflects ongoing dangers to Jewish students and uneven institutional oversight.

OHNS HOPKINS NIVERSITY



Johns Hopkins University

Johns Hopkins reports highlighted antisemitic vandalism, threats, and rhetoric promoting extremist violence. The low grade points to persistent dangers and inconsistent institutional response.





Middlebury College

Middlebury College reports documented antisemitic graffiti, harassment, and rhetoric that appeared to endorse extremist violence. The low grade reflects continued threats and a lack of consistent campus intervention.





Princeton University

During the review period, Princeton saw antisemitic graffiti, harassment, and rhetoric praising extremist violence. The D-grade reflects ongoing danger and a campus failing to hold perpetrators accountable.







Rutgers University

TGERS IIVERSI

MIDDLEBURY COLLEGE

In 2025, Rutgers faced antisemitic incidents, including hostile conditions for Jewish students, a lecturer making antisemitic statements, and the student government rejecting the IHRA definition. The campus climate remains hostile and unwelcoming for Jewish students.

N FRANCISCO



San Francisco State University

At SFSU, Jewish students have faced antisemitic graffiti and vandalism, including targeting the nearby Hillel building with slogans like "Khaybar." The campus climate for Jews remains tense, with concerns about safety and uneven institutional safeguards.



University of California, LA

UCLA has faced multiple antisemitic incidents, including protests excluding Jewish students, hostile graffiti, and a federal finding of "deliberate indifference." The campus climate remains deeply troubling for Jewish safety and inclusion.





UC Santa Cruz

UCSC Jewish students have faced antisemitic incidents including swastika graffiti, flyers with hate speech, and a party celebrating Adolf Hitler's birthday. The campus climate therefore remains unsafe for Jews, despite public condemnations.





Stanford University

STANFORD UNIVERSITY At Stanford University, Jewish students report a disturbing rise in antisemitic incidents-including graffiti on dorm doors, vandalized safe housing, and rhetoric normalizing extremist violence. The campus climate is tense and fraught, with the institution under federal scrutiny to protect Jews.





UC San Diego

At UC San Diego, Jewish students have faced antisemitic vandalism, including hate symbols in dorms, and the Department of Education has warned the university for failing to protect them. The campus climate remains risky and uncertain for Jewish students.





University of Chicago

Jewish students at U Chicago reported antisemitic incidents, including vandalism and offensive signage in faculty offices. While the administration has investigated and provided bias-reporting resources, the campus climate remains tense and administrative responses are seen as insufficient.



University of Illinois

At UIUC, Jewish students have faced antisemitic incidents, including swastikas, vandalism, and threats, with federal findings noting gaps in the university's response. The campus climate remains precarious and protections inconsistent.





University of Virginia

At UVA, Jewish students faced harassment, threats, and a firearm-related incident, with the DOJ citing the university for mishandling it. The campus climate remains risky despite initiatives to improve protections.





Brown University

At Brown, federal investigators found numerous antisemitic incidents met with little action. Despite new policies and training, the campus climate remains uncertain and unsafe for Jewish students.





UNIVERSITY OF NORTH TEXAS **University of North Texas**

At UNT, an anti-Israel student art exhibit was partially removed amid antisemitism complaints, and the Department of Education opened an investigation. The campus climate remains risky for Jewish students with inconsistent protections.





Wesleyan University

At Wesleyan, Jewish students report rising antisemitic rhetoric and incidents, with inconsistent institutional responses leaving the campus climate tense and unwelcoming.

WESLEYANUNIVERSITY





Columbia University

COLUMBIA

At Columbia University, Jewish students have faced repeated antisemitic incidents including vandalism, hate filled emails, and disruptions glorifying extremist violence. Federal investigators found the university showed "deliberate indifference" toward these issues and threatened to halt hundreds of millions in fundina.





Harvard University

At Harvard, Jewish students report high levels of self-censorship and antisemitism, with federal authorities finding the university showed "deliberate indifference." Despite new initiatives, the campus climate remains tense and accountability uncertain.

ASSACHUSETT: USTITUTE OF ICHNOLOGY



Massachusetts Institute of Technology

At MIT, Jewish students report a series of antisemitic incidents such as vandalism, harassment, and rhetoric glorifying violence. Also, a federal lawsuit alleges the university has allowed a "severe and pervasive" hostile environment to fester. Students say the campus climate remains unsafe.



THE NEVV SCHOOL

The New School University

Jewish New School students have reported pervasive antisemitism including physical assault, slurs, harassment, and a campus environment that fails to reliably safeguard them. The institution was assigned a failing grade for its handling of antisemitic conduct.

Northwe At NU, Jew antisemitic i rhetoric and encampment President M Kathleen Ho and frozen lapses in installed to the control of the contro



Northwestern University

At NU, Jewish students reported antisemitic incidents including hostile rhetoric and a controversial student encampment. The resignations of President Michael Schill and Provost Kathleen Hagerty—amid federal scrutiny and frozen funding—underscore serious lapses in institutional accountability.

E



Pomona College

At Pomona College, Jewish students face antisemitic incidents, including vandalism and disrupted events.

Despite updated policies, the campus climate remains hostile and tense.





Portland State University

PSU Jewish students have reported persistent antisemitic harassment and exclusion, and the U.S. Department of Education has opened a civil rights investigation into the university's handling of antisemitism. The campus climate for Jewish students remains marked by elevated risk and unclear protections.

POMONA COLLEGE



NIVERSITY ON THE STATE OF THE S

University of California, Berkeley

Berkeley Jewish students report antisemitic incidents including harassment, blocked access, vandalism, and a protest driven event cancellation. The campus climate remains uneasy, with many students feeling safety and accountability are still lacking.



University of California, Davis

At UC Davis, Jewish students report high levels of antisemitism and feel unsafe expressing their identity. Despite condemnations and initiatives, the campus remains tense with inconsistent support.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA DAVIS



University of Oregon

OF OREGON

Jewish students have reported antisemitic incidents such as swastika graffiti and the removal of pro Jewish materials in residence halls. While the university has publicly condemned these acts and opened investigations, the campus climate remains fraught with safety concerns.



W UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

University of Washington

At UW, violent protests and blocked access in 2025 highlighted severe antisemitism, with federal reviews citing gaps in the university's response.

Despite new policies, the campus remains unsafe and hostile for Jewish students.





University of Pennsylvania

U Penn Jewish students report antisemitic vandalism, hostile rhetoric, and a two week pro Palestinian encampment that heightened safety fears. The overall situation suggests a campus climate of serious risk and uneven institutional accountability.

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA




Yale University


YALE Universit At Yale, Jewish students faced harassment, exclusion, and blocked access, prompting a federal investigation. Despite policy changes, the campus remains hostile and unsafe for Jewish students.

19


STUDENT RESPONSES TO SURVEY




Have you personally been a victim of antisemitism on campus?




If yes, did you report it?




Was your case properly investigated and are you happy with the outcome?




Do you hide your Jewish identity on campus and/or to fellow classmates?




Do you feel unwelcomed as a Jew in certain spaces on campus?




Do you feel Jews are included in your school's DEI space/initiatives?



Do you feel blamed for the actions of Israel?



Did your school do enough to safeguard its Jewish students post 10/7?



Would you recommend your school to other Jewish students?

RECOMMENDATIONS

StopAntisemitism 2025 Recommendations for Universities

Based on the 2025 StopAntisemitism Campus Report results, several key recommendations emerge for universities, drawn from the highest-graded campuses and the most concerning ones. These recommendations aim to help institutions proactively protect Jewish students and foster truly inclusive environments.

1. Swift and Transparent Incident Response:

Top-performing universities (A-grade schools like Baylor, Clemson, and Colby) demonstrate that immediate condemnation of antisemitic acts and transparent investigation procedures are critical. Schools should ensure all reports of harassment, vandalism, or antisemitic rhetoric are addressed promptly, with clear communication to the student body about actions taken and outcomes. Transparency builds trust and reassures students that their safety and concerns are taken seriously.

2. Consistent Policy Enforcement and Accountability:

Many C- and D-grade campuses reveal that inconsistent enforcement of policies allows antisemitism to persist. Universities must implement clear, standardized protocols for handling antisemitic behavior, including consequences for students, faculty, or staff who engage in harassment or discrimination. Regular audits and oversight committees can help maintain accountability and prevent lapses in enforcement.

3. Strengthen Jewish Student Support and Engagement:

A-grade universities actively engage with Jewish organizations, ensuring students feel connected and supported. Programs like study abroad partnerships in Israel, Jewish cultural events, and educational initiatives on antisemitism reinforce inclusion. Schools should expand funding, advisory boards, and mentorship opportunities for Jewish students to cultivate a sense of community and resilience.

RECOMMENDATIONS

CONTINUED

4. Proactive Education and Cultural Competence:

Education programs on antisemitism, Zionism, and Jewish history–similar to initiatives at WashU and Clemson–equip students and staff to recognize and confront bias. Universities should provide mandatory training for faculty, resident advisors, and student leaders to prevent microaggressions, harassment, and the normalization of extremist rhetoric.

5. Collaboration with Federal and External Organizations:

Campuses under federal investigation (e.g., UW-Madison, Yale, UC Davis) highlight the need for proactive collaboration with the U.S. Department of Education and advocacy organizations. Establishing formal partnerships and seeking guidance on compliance ensures universities remain ahead of legal and civil rights requirements while safeguarding students.

6. Monitoring and Transparency:

Regular, publicly available reporting on antisemitic incidents—modeled by institutions like Colorado State University and Elon University—provides accountability and allows students to track progress. Universities should maintain dashboards or annual reports summarizing incidents, actions taken, and ongoing initiatives to improve campus climate.

CONCLUSION

The 2025 findings prove that antisemitism on campus is systemic, not episodic. It is embedded in the culture, policies, and power structures of higher education. Jewish students who report harassment are routinely dismissed, ignored, or retraumatized. Administrators hide behind "process," either because they too are afraid or, worse, because they are complicit. Faculty validate and amplify extremist rhetoric, some even teaching it in class. And DEI offices, the very departments tasked with protecting minority students, often serve as engines of anti-Jewish hostility.

A small number of universities made commendable efforts adopting IHRA, condemning terrorism, or expanding support for Jewish students. But these institutions are the exception, not the rule. The majority of campuses remain silent, inconsistent, or actively hostile to Jewish concerns. Investigations into antisemitism are mishandled or abandoned, reinforcing the message that Jewish students stand alone.

This year's data makes clear that DEI has become a primary vehicle for antisemitism in American academia. Instead of fostering inclusion, DEI offices have:

- Normalized antisemitism under the banner of activism
- Excused harassment as "political speech"
- Excluded Jews from protections offered to other minorities
- Indoctrinated students with ideological frameworks that demonize Israel and, by extension, Jewish identity, and empowered movements that openly call for the dismantling of the world's only Jewish state

CONCLUSION

CONTINUED

The solution is not reform, DEI has failed too fully, too structurally, and too consistently, and must therefore be completely dismantled. Its ideological rigidity, selective morality, and entrenched double standards have helped fuel the worst wave of campus antisemitism in modern American history.

Worse still, academia's refusal to confront this hatred threatens its own survival. Universities are meant to be beacons of reason, institutions committed to intellectual freedom and the pursuit of understanding. Instead, by allowing, endorsing, and institutionalizing anti-Jewish hatred, universities are poisoning their own foundations. The erosion of the bedrock principles of education does not just harm Jewish students, it threatens the very viability of higher education itself.

If universities do not confront and uproot the systems that enable antisemitism, they will continue to fail their Jewish students-indeed all their students-and they will continue to betray their very reason for existing.

Jewish students want to be proud of their schools, not afraid of them. If they want to survive, universities should take heed. The time for decisive action is not approaching. It is long overdue.

ABOUT US

StopAntisemitism is a grassroots watchdog organization dedicated to exposing groups and individuals that espouse incitement towards the Jewish people and State and engage in antisemitic behaviors.

Founded in 2018, StopAntisemitism was born in response to increasing antisemitic violence and sentiment across the United States.

StopAntisemitism has developed a commanding following, reaching nearly half a billion individuals monthly through social media, mailers, and its website. By publicly exposing antisemites, StopAntisemitism has created an environment where those who propagate hatred against the Jewish people are met with real world consequences including but not limited to being arrested, job loss, school expulsions, and awards revoked.

INFO



